

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON**

**GLACIER FILMS (USA), INC., and  
GLACIER FILMS 1, LLC,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**HUMBERTO ALVARADO TENORIO,**

Defendant.

Case No. 3:15-cv-01729-SB

**ORDER**

**Michael H. Simon, District Judge.**

United States Magistrate Judge Stacie F. Beckerman issued Findings and Recommendation in this case on June 22, 2016. ECF 36. Judge Beckerman recommended that Plaintiffs’ motion for default judgment be granted and a default judgment be entered in the amount of statutory damages of \$750. No party has filed objections.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act (“Act”), the court may “accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C.

§ 636(b)(1). If a party files objections to a magistrate’s findings and recommendations, “the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” *Id.*; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

If no party objects, the Act does not prescribe any standard of review. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) (“There is no indication that Congress, in enacting [the Act], intended

to require a district judge to review a magistrate’s report to which no objections are filed.”); *United States. v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (holding that the court must review de novo magistrate’s findings and recommendations if objection is made, “but not otherwise”).

Although review is not required in the absence of objections, the Act “does not preclude further review by the district judge[] *sua sponte* . . . under a *de novo* or any other standard.” *Thomas*, 474 U.S. at 154. Indeed, the Advisory Committee Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) recommend that “[w]hen no timely objection is filed,” the court review the magistrate’s findings and recommendations for “clear error on the face of the record.”

No party having made objections, this Court follows the recommendation of the Advisory Committee and reviews Judge Beckerman’s Findings and Recommendation for clear error on the face of the record. No such error is apparent. Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** Judge Beckerman’s Findings and Recommendation, ECF 36. Plaintiffs’ motion for default judgment (ECF 33) is GRANTED. A judgment will be entered against Defendant, permanently enjoining him from infringing Plaintiffs’ rights in the movie *American Heist* and awarding \$750 in statutory damages.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED this 13th day of July, 2016.

/s/ Michael H. Simon  
Michael H. Simon  
United States District Judge